### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT

**HALDIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY**

**Department of CSE** (Cyber Security)

**AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPT: CSE(CS)</th>
<th>YEAR: 2ND YEAR</th>
<th>SEMESTER: 3RD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**NO STUDENT : 32**

### Subject Name & Code: Data Structure and Algorithms (PCC_CS_301)

**FACULTY NAME :** Mr Abhijit Sarkar

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.

   **Rating:** 4.65

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.

   **Rating:** 4.69

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's

   **Rating:** 4.53

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject

   **Rating:** 4.31

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly

   **Rating:** 4.47

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.

   **Rating:** 4.5

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.

   **Rating:** 4.53

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.

   **Rating:** 4.41

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.

   **Rating:** 4.39

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

    **Rating:** 4.44

### AVG FEEDBACK

**Rating:** 4.5

### PERCENTAGE

**Rating:** 90

### HOD REMARKS:

### PRINCIPAL REMARKS

---

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanto  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Name: Ms Sujata Suman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVG FEEDBACK: 4.59
PERCENTAGE: 91.8

HOD REMARKS:
PRINCIPAL REMARKS

Print this page
**Subject Name & Code:** Economics for Engineers (HSMC-CS-301)

**FACULTY NAME:** Mr Soumya Kanti Dhara

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, examples and data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AVG FEEDBACK:** 4.45

**PERCENTAGE:** 89.1

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS:**

---

**[Signatures]**

**Dean-School of Engineering**

**Haldia Institute of Technology**

---

**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta**

**Associate Professor & Head**

**Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)**

**Haldia Institute of Technology**

**Haldia, W. B.**
## Subject Name & Code: Digital Electronics (ESC-CS-301)

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.  

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.  

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's.  

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject.  

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly.  

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc. example and data analysis.  

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.  

8. Test. Assignment and quizzes were adequate.  

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.  

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVG FEEDBACK</th>
<th>4.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTAGE</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Subject Name & Code: Linear Algebra (BSC-CS-301)

## FACULTY NAME: Dr. Prabir Panja

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc. example and data analysis.</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AVG FEEDBACK

4.67

### PERCENTAGE

93.33

### HOD REMARKS:

### PRINCIPAL REMARKS

---

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you find the curriculum is well designed?</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of use of ICT based teaching?</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the courses conceptually difficult to understand?</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the curriculum have focus on employability?</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE?</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do the notices are displayed /communicated in right time?</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the tutorial classes are adequate?</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the tutorial classes are adequate?</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the examination related procedures and timely publications of results?</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities?</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG FEEDBACK</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTAGE</td>
<td>92.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOD REMARKS:  
PRINCIPAL REMARKS

---

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.
**Teacher's feedback on Syllabus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the curriculum well designed?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Is the course conceptually difficult to understand by the students?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the curriculum has enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the curriculum promote learning outcome?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the curriculum intellectually stimulate?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the curriculum design has focus on employability?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do you think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Does the syllabus provide foundation for pursuing Higher Studies/Research?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do you think the syllabus of the subject you teach is complete and comprehensive?</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Signature of Principal

Signature of Dean

Signature of HOD

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.
# STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT

HALDIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Department of CSE (Cyber Security)

AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPT: CSE/CS</th>
<th>YEAR OF DATE: 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAR: 2ND YEAR</td>
<td>SEMESTER: 4TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO. STUDENT: 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Subject Name & Code: Operating System (PCC_CS_402)

### FACULTY NAME: Mr. Abhijit Sarkar

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.  
   
   4.72

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.  
   
   4.66

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's  
   
   4.64

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject  
   
   4.64

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly  
   
   4.76

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.  
   
   4.68

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.  
   
   4.84

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.  
   
   4.56

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.  
   
   4.8

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.  
    
    4.8

### AVG FEEDBACK

4.73

### PERCENTAGE

94.64

### HOD REMARKS:

PRINCIPAL REMARKS

---

**Principal**

Haldia Institute of Technology

---

**Dean-School of Engineering**

Haldia Institute of Technology

---

**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta**

Associate Professor & Head

Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)

Haldia Institute of Technology

Haldia, W. B.
# Student Feedback Form for Teaching Assessment

**Halda Institute of Technology**  
Department of CSE (Cyber Security)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AN INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Department:** CSE(CS)  
**Year of Date:** 2023  
**Semester:** 4th  
**No. Student:** 30

**Subject Name & Code:** Formal Language and Automata Theory (PCC_CS_403)

**Faculty Name:** Mrs. Sujata Suman

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.  
   
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.  
   
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO’s.  
   
4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject  
   
5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly  
   
6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc., example and data analysis.  
   
7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.  
   
8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.  
   
9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.  
   
10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.  

**Avg Feedback:** 4.71  
**Percentage:** 94.16

| HOD Remarks: |
| Principal |

**Dean of School of Engineering:**  
**Haldia Institute of Technology**

**Associate Professor & Head:**  
**Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security):**

**Haldia Institute of Technology**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Name &amp; Code: Discrete Mathematics (ESC_CS_401)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FACULTY NAME : Dr. Debasish Ghosh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc., example and data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| AVG FEEDBACK | 4.12 |
| PERCENTAGE | 82.4 |

HOD REMARKS:  

PRINCIPAL REMARKS:  

---

[Signature]

Principal  
Haldia Institute of Technology

[Signature]

Dean-School of Engineering  
Haldia Institute of Technology

[Signature]

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.
STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT  
HALDIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
Department of CSE (Cyber Security)  
AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB  

DEPT NAME:  
YEAR OF DATE: 2023  
SEMESTER: 4TH  
NO. STUDENT: 50  

Subject Name & Code: Object Oriented Programming(PCC_CS_401)  

FACULTY NAME: Mr. Priyatosh Jana  

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.  
   4.39  
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.  
   4.32  
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's  
   4.32  
4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject  
   4.44  
5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly  
   4.4  
6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.  
   4.32  
7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.  
   4.46  
8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.  
   4.4  
9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.  
   4.10  
10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.  
    4.32  

AVG FEEDBACK  
4.35  

PERCENTAGE  
87.04  

HOD REMARKS:  
PRINCIPAL REMARKS:  

Print this page  

[Signatures]  
Principal  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Dean-School of Engineering  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.  
1/1
## Student Feedback Form for Teaching Assessment

**Halda Institute of Technology**

**Department of CSE (Cyber Security)**

**AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB**

**DEPT: CSE(CS)**

**YEAR: 2ND YEAR**

**YEAR OF DATE: 2023**

**SEMESTER: 4TH**

**NO. STUDENT: 30**

**Subject Name & Code: Environmental Science (MC_CS_401)**

**Faculty Name: Mrs. Ahana Bhaduri**

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.

   - **4.52**

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.

   - **4.64**

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's

   - **4.48**

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject

   - **4.44**

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly

   - **4.52**

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.

   - **4.44**

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.

   - **4.56**

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.

   - **4.4**

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.

   - **4.56**

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

    - **4.6**

**Avg Feedback: 4.52**

**Percentage: 90.32**

**HOD Remarks:**

**Principal Remarks:**

**Dean-School of Engineering**

_Halda Institute of Technology_

**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta**

Associate Professor & Head

Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)

_Halda Institute of Technology_

_Halda, W. B._
### Subject Name & Code: Biology (BSC_CS_401)

**FACULTY NAME:** Mrs. Ahana Bhaduri

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.  
   
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.  
   
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the POs and PSO's  

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject  

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly  

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.  

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.  

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.  

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.  

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.  

**AVG FEEDBACK:** 4.54  

**PERCENTAGE:** 90.8  

**HOD REMARKS:**  

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS:**  

---

*Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta*  
Associate Professor & Head  
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)  
Haldia Institute of Technology  
Haldia, W. B.
### AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPT: CSE(CS)</th>
<th>YEAR OF DATE: 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAR: 2ND YEAR</td>
<td>SEMESTER: 4TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. STUDENT: 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does the curriculum well designed?</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the course conceptually difficult to understand?</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does the curriculum has enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job?</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the curriculum promote learning outcome?</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did the course curriculum intellectually stimulate?</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Does the curriculum design has focus on employability?</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Do you the think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE?</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Did the syllabus provides foundation for pursuing Higher Studies/Research?</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Did the subject/courses help in developing your personality?</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Does the syllabus has enough innovativeness and opportunities for creative thinking?</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AVG FEEDBACK** 4.45

**PERCENTAGE** 89.04

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS**

---

*signature*

**Dean-School of Engineering**

**Haldia Institute of Technology**

**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanto**

Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
### Teacher's feedback on Syllabus

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>Does the curriculum well designed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Adequate</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Is the course conceptually difficult to understand by the students?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Easy</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td>Does the curriculum has enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td>Does the curriculum promote learning outcome?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>Does the course curriculum intellectually stimulate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td>Does the curriculum design has focus on employability?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td>Do you think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td>Does the syllabus provide foundation for pursuing Higher Studies/Research?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td>Do you think the syllabus of the subject you teach is complete and comprehensive?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Signature of Principal**  
**Principal**  
**Haldia Institute of Technology**

**Signature of Dean**  
**Dean-School of Engineering**  
**Haldia Institute of Technology**

**Signature of HOD**  
**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta**  
**Associate Professor & Head**  
**Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)**  
**Haldia Institute of Technology**  
**Haldia, W. B.**
| Quality | 
|---------|---|
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.91 |
| Description | The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities. |
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.87 |
| Description | The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained. |
| Level | 4.91 |
| Point | 4.87 |
| Description | The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's. |
| Level | 4.91 |
| Point | 4.91 |
| Description | The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject. |
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.96 |
| Description | The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly. |
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.87 |
| Description | The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis. |
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.96 |
| Description | Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant. |
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.96 |
| Description | Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate. |
| Level | 4.96 |
| Point | 4.91 |
| Description | The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning. |
| Level | 4.93 |
| Point | 98.52 |
| Description | Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher. |

**AVG FEEDBACK**

**PERCENTAGE**

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS**

---

**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta**
Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W.B.
**Subject Name & Code: Database Management System (PCC_CS_501)**

**FACULTY NAME: Mr Sohail Saif**

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

**AVG FEEDBACK**

4.69

**PERCENTAGE**

93.83

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS**

---

*Principal*

Haldia Institute of Technology

*Dean-School of Engineering*

Haldia Institute of Technology

*Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta*

Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Name &amp; Code: Data Communication and Networks (PCC_CS_503)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FACULTY NAME : Mr Priyatosh Jana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVG FEEDBACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTAGE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

94.26

HOD REMARKS:
PRINCIPAL REMARKS
**National Institute of Technology, West Bengal**

**Department of CSE (Cyber Security)**

**STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT**

**DEPT: CSE(CS)**

**YEAR: 3RD YEAR**

**SUBJECT NAME & CODE: Probability and Statistics (ESC_CS_501)**

**FACULTY NAME: Dr Biplab Sinha Mahapatra**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</th>
<th>4.79</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AVG FEEDBACK**

4.75

**PERCENTAGE**

94.92

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS:**

---

**Dean-School of Engineering**

Haldia Institute of Technology

**Dr. Sabujasachi Samanta**

Associate Professor & Head

Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)

Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT

HALDIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of CSE (Cyber Security)

AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAHI A N A K A L A M A Z A D UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB

DEPT: CSE (CS)
YEAR: 3RD YEAR
SEMESTER: 5TH
NO. STUDENT: 23

YEAR OF DATE: 2022

Subject Name & Code: Cryptography (PCC_CS_504)

FACULTY NAME: Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

AVG FEEDBACK: 4.26

PERCENTAGE: 86.78

HOD REMARKS:

PRINCIPAL REMARKS:

[Signature]

Principal
Haldia Institute of Technology

[Signature]

Dean-School of Engineering
Haldia Institute of Technology

[Signature]

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta
Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
**Subject Name & Code:** Malware Analysis (PCC_CS_505)

**FACULTY NAME:** Ms Sujata Suman

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.  
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.  
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's  
4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject  
5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly  
6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.  
7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant  
8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.  
9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.  
10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

**AVG FEEDBACK:** 4.6

**PERCENTAGE:** 92.09

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS:**

---

[Signatures and details of principal and Dean]
1. Do you find the curriculum is well designed? 4.76
2. Percentage of use of ICT based teaching? 4.83
3. Does the courses conceptually difficult to understand? 4.74
4. Does the curriculum have focus on employability? 4.83
5. Do you think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE? 4.65
6. Do the notices are displayed/communicated in right time? 4.83
7. Do you think that the tutorial classes are adequate? 4.83
8. Do you think that the tutorial classes are adequate? 4.74
9. Are you satisfied with the examination related procedures and timely publications of results? 4.7
10. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.74
11. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.83
12. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.7
13. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.78
14. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.83
15. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.83
16. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.78
17. Are you satisfied with the library (central/departmental) facilities? 4.87

AVG FEEDBACK 4.77
PERCENTAGE 95.3
HOD REMARKS:
PRINCIPAL REMARKS

Print this page
Teacher's feedback on Syllabus

1. Does the curriculum well designed? 
   - Adequate: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

2. Is the course conceptually difficult to understand by the students? 
   - Easy: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

3. Does the curriculum has enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

4. Does the curriculum promote learning outcome? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

5. Does the course curriculum intellectually stimulate? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

6. Does the curriculum design has focus on employability? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

7. Do you think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

8. Does the syllabus provide foundation for pursuing Higher Studies/Research? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

9. Do you think the syllabus of the subject you teach is complete and comprehensive? 
   - Yes: 6 
   - Grand Total: 6 

Signature of Principal 
Principal 
Haldia Institute of Technology

Signature of Dean 
Dean-School of Engineering 
Haldia Institute of Technology

Signature of HOD 
Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta 
Associate Professor & Head 
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security) 
Haldia Institute of Technology 
Haldia, W. B.
**STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT**

**HALDIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY**

Department of CSE (Cyber Security)

AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPT: CSE(CS)</th>
<th>YEAR OF DATE: 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAR: 3RD YEAR</td>
<td>SEMESTER: 6TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO. STUDENT: 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Paper Name & Code:** Internet Technology (PEC_CS_601B)

**FACULTY NAME:** Mr Abhijit Sarkar

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities. 4.68
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained. 4.68
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO’s and PSO’s. 4.47
4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject. 4.42
5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly. 4.58
6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis. 4.42
7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant. 4.63
8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate. 4.37
9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning. 4.42
10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher. 4.32

**AVG FEEDBACK**

4.5

**PERCENTAGE**

90

HOD REMARKS:

PRINCIPAL REMARKS

---

Principal
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dean-School of Engineering
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta
Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
**Paper Name & Code: Artificial Intelligence(PCC-CS-603)**

**FACULTY NAME : Mr. Soumajit Roy**

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's.
4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject.
5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly.
6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc. example and data analysis.
7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.
8. Test. Assignment and quizzes were adequate.
9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.
10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

**AVG FEEDBACK** 4.29  
**PERCENTAGE** 85.89

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS**
STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT
HALDIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of CSE(Cyber Security)

AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB

DEPT: CSE(CS)                YEAR OF DATE: 2023
DATE: 3RD YEAR                SEMESTER: 6th
NO. STUDENT: 30

Subject Name & Code: Ethical Hacking(PCC_CS_602)

FACULTY NAME : Ms. Sujata Suman

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AVG FEEDBACK</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOD REMARKS:

PRINCIPAL REMARKS

---

Principal
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dean-School of Engineering
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dr. Sabysachi Saman
Associate Professor & Hider
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W.B.
STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM FOR TEACHING ASSESSMENT
Haldia Institute of Technology

Department of CSE(Cyber Security)

AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION UNDER MAULANA ABUL KALAM AZAD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WB

DEPT: CSE(CS)
YEAR: 3RD YEAR
SEMESTER: 6TH
NO. STUDENT: 30

Paper Name & Code: Cyber Law and Cyber Crime (OEC_CS_601A)

FACULTY NAME: Mr Priyotosh Jana

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to industrial application, current development and research opportunities.
   4.41

2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.
   4.41

3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's
   4.41

4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject
   4.47

5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly
   4.35

6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc, example and data analysis.
   4.47

7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.
   4.35

8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.
   4.41

9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.
   4.29

10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.
   4.47

AVG FEEDBACK
4.41

PERCENTAGE
88.12

HOD REMARKS:

PRINCIPAL REMARKS:

Dr. Sabyasachi Samantar
Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
Subject Name & Code: Internet Security (PCC_CS_601)

FACULTY NAME: Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta

1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities. 4.29
2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained. 4.29
3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's 4.29
4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject 4.24
5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly 4.18
6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc., example and data analysis. 4.18
7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant. 4.29
8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate. 4.29
9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning. 4.24
10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher. 4.47

AVG FEEDBACK 4.28
PERCENTAGE 85.53

HOD REMARKS:

Principal
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dean-School of Engineering
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta
Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology
Haldia, W. B.
# Student Feedback Form for Teaching Assessment

**Halda Institute of Technology**

**Department of CSE (Cyber Security)**

**An Autonomous Institution Under Maulana Abul Kalam Azad University of Technology, WB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPT: CSE(CS)</th>
<th>YEAR OF DATE: 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAR: 3RD YEAR</td>
<td>SEMESTER: 4TH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO STUDENT: 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Paper Name & Code: Human Values and Professional Ethics (HSMC-CS-601)**

**Faculty Name:** Mr. Adranil Santra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. The faculty explained the objective of the course. Its relevance in regard to Industrial application, current development and research opportunities.</th>
<th>4.35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. The prerequisites, pertinence of the course with others and programme as a whole and the organization of the subject matter are explained.</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The teacher explained CO statements and its correlations with the PO's and PSO's</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The teacher is enthusiastic and created interest in the subject</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The teacher delivered the lecture lucidly</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The teacher emphasized on numerical problem solving / mathematical formulation etc., example and data analysis.</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Teacher used modern and smart teaching aids, whenever relevant.</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Test, Assignment and quizzes were adequate.</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The teacher provides opportunities for participatory learning.</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Your level of satisfaction with the all round contribution of the teacher.</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Avg Feedback:** 4.32

**Percentage:** 86.47

**HOD Remarks:**

**Principal Remarks:**

---

**Dr. Sabyasachi Samanta**

Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Halda Institute of Technology
Halda, W. B.
### Student Feedback on Syllabus

**Haldia Institute of Technology**

Department of CSE (Cyber Security)

**An Autonomous Institution under Maulana Abul Kalam Azad University of Technology, WB**

**DPI:** CSE(CS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>No. Student</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd Year</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does the curriculum well designed?</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Was the course conceptually difficult to understand?</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does the curriculum has enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job?</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Did the curriculum promote learning outcome?</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Did the course curriculum intellectually stimulate?</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Does the curriculum design has focus on employability?</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Do you the think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE?</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Did the syllabus provides foundation for pursuing Higher Studies/Research?</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Did the subject/courses help in developing your personality?</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Does the syllabus has enough innovativeness and opportunities for creative thinking?</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AVG FEEDBACK**

4.17

**PERCENTAGE**

83.44

**HOD REMARKS:**

**PRINCIPAL REMARKS**

---

**Principal**

Haldia Institute of Technology

**Dean-School of Engineering**

Haldia Institute of Technology

---

**Dr. Sabayon Guest**

Associate Professor &

Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)

Haldia Institute of Technology

Haldia, W.B.
Teacher's feedback on Syllabus

1. Does the curriculum well designed?
   - Adequate
   - Grand Total

2. Is the course conceptually difficult to understand by the students?
   - Easy
   - Grand Total

Does the curriculum has enough content for a student to acquire sufficient knowledge to secure a subject related job?

3. Yes
   - Grand Total

4. Does the curriculum promote learning outcome?
   - Yes
   - Grand Total

5. Does the course curriculum intellectually stimulate?
   - Yes
   - Grand Total

6. Does the curriculum design has focus on employability?
   - Yes
   - Grand Total

7. Do you think that the syllabus is adequate for GATE?
   - Yes
   - Grand Total

8. Does the syllabus provide foundation for pursuing Higher Studies/Research?
   - Yes
   - Grand Total

9. Do you think the syllabus of the subject you teach is complete and comprehensive?
   - Yes
   - Grand Total

Signature of Principal

Signature of Dean

Dr. sabich samanta
Associate Professor & Head
Dept. of CSE (Cyber Security)
Haldia Institute of Technology

Dean-School of Engineering
Haldia Institute of Technology